

Name of Applicant:

Name of Reviewer:

| ARCHAEOLOGICAL MERIT (25) | Score | Comments (optional) |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>5 = complete and effective</i> <i>3-4 = partial and/or somewhat informative</i> <i>1-2 = incomplete and/or confusing</i> <i>0 = ineffectual</i> | | |
| Communication of the research question (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| <i>5 = complete and credible explanation</i> <i>3-4 = partial and/or reasonable explanation</i> <i>1-2 = incomplete and/or implausible explanation</i> <i>0 = not addressed</i> | | |
| Significance & Background (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| Hypothesis/Specific Aims (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| Methods/Techniques (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| Potential Results & Problems (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| <hr/> | | |
| BUDGET & PROJECT TIMELINE (10) | | |
| <i>8-10 = well-planned and reasonable to advance applicant's research</i> <i>5-7 = acceptable</i> <i>1-5 = unrealistic, inappropriate, and/or vague</i> <i>0 = not described</i> | | |
| Budget & timeline (10) | <input type="text"/> | |
| <hr/> | | |
| APPLICANT ABILITY (10) | | |
| <i>5 = strong background, training, and/or experience in research</i> <i>3-4 = some background, training, and/or experience</i> <i>1-2 = little background and/or training</i> <i>0 = lacks background and/or training (successful, timely research seems unlikely)</i> | | |
| Resumé or CV (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| Letters of recommendation (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| <hr/> | | |
| WISCONSIN FOCUS (5) | | |
| <i>5 = significant and innovative focus on Wisconsin archaeology</i> <i>3-4 = average, less innovative focus on WI archaeology</i> <i>1-2 = unconvincing or general focus on WI archaeology</i> <i>0 = not explicitly addressed</i> | | |
| Advancement of Wisconsin Archaeology (5) | <input type="text"/> | |
| <hr/> | | |
| TOTAL (50) | <input type="text"/> | |